VILLAGE OF CROTON-ON-HUDSON, NEW YORK COASTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

Section A - Instructions:

Please print or type all answers.

The Local Waterfront Revitalization Program consistency review shall, as much as possible, be conducted simultaneously and in coordination with any SEQR review of the same action.

Whenever a village agency receives an application for approval or funding of an action, or as early as possible in the agency's formulation of a direct action, the applicant or, in the case of a direct action, the agency, shall prepare a coastal assessment form (CAF) to assist with the consistency review. The CAF shall be prepared and submitted with the environmental assessment form (EAF) and any other documents required by SEQR.

For any actions, the agency that receives or prepares the EAF/CAF shall refer the EAF/CAF along with any accompanying application and other documentation, within three business days of establishment of the lead agency to the WAC.

If any question in Section C on this form is answered "yes", then the proposed action may affect the achievement of the coastal policies contained in Article 42 of the Executive Law. Thus, the action should be analyzed in more detail and, if necessary, modified prior to either (a) making a certification of consistency pursuant to 19 NYCRR Part 600 or, (b) making the findings required under SEOR, 6 NYCRR, Section 617.9, if the action is one for which an environmental impact statement is being prepared. If an action cannot be certified as consistent with the coastal policies, it shall not be undertaken.

Before answering the questions in Section B, the preparer of this form should review the policies in the Village of Croton-on-Hudson Local Waterfront Revitalization Program on file in the village clerk's office and available on the village website. A proposed action should be evaluated as to its significant beneficial and adverse effects upon the coastal area.

Section B – Proposed Action

1.	Applicant Name:
2.	Mailing Address:
3.	Telephone Number:
	Site Location (address):
	Site Size (in acres):
6.	Present land use:
7.	Present zoning classification:
8.	Percent of site that contains slopes of 15% or greater:
9.	List and describe streams, lakes, ponds or wetlands existing within or contiguous to the project site:

10.	. Type of	action (cneck appropriate response):		
	(a) 🗆	Directly undertaken (e.g. capital construction, planning activity, agency regula transaction)	ation, lar	nd
	(b) 🗆	Financial assistance (e.g. grant, loan, subsidy)		
	(c) 🗆	Permit, license, certification		
11.	Describ	e nature and extent of action:		
12.		e any unique or unusual land forms on the project site (i.e. bluffs, dunes, swales sions, other geological formations):	s, groun	d
13.	Will the	action be directly undertaken, require funding, or approval by a federal agency?)	
	(a) □	No		
	(b) □	Yes If yes, what federal agency?		
O 1:	0 0			
		pastal Assessment	aatian i	
	ete Secti	neck either yes or no for each of the following questions. If the answer to any qu on D.	estion is	s yes,
·			Yes	No
1.	Will the	proposed action be <u>located</u> in or <u>contiguous</u> to:		
	(a) Any	of the resource areas identified on the coastal area map?		
	(b) Sigi	nificant fish or wildlife habitats?		
	(c) Sce	nic resources of local or statewide significance?		
	(d) Nat	ural protected features in an erosion hazard area?		
	(e) The	shoreline?		
2.	Will the	proposed action have a significant effect upon:		
		of the resource areas identified on the coastal area map?		
	•	nificant fish or wildlife habitats?		
	. ,	nic resources of local or statewide significance?		
	• •	ural protected features in an erosion hazard area?		
	• •	nmercial or recreational use of fish and wildlife resources?		

		Yes	NO
	(f) Scenic quality of the coastal environment?		
	(g) Development of future, or existing water dependent uses?		
	(h) Stability of the shoreline?		
	(i) Surface or ground water quality?		
	(j) Existing or potential public recreation opportunities?		
	(k) Structures, sites or districts of historic, archeological or cultural significance to the village, State or nation?		
3.	Will the proposed action involve, require or result in any of the following:		
	(a) Physical land along the shoreline, land under water or coastal waters?		
	(b) Physical alteration of two (2) or more acres of land located elsewhere in the coastal area?		
	(c) Expansion of existing public services or infrastructure in undeveloped or tow density areas of the coastal area?		
	(d) Energy facility not subject to Article VII or VIII of the Public service Law?		
	(e) Mining, excavation, filling or dredging in coastal waters?		
	(f) Reduction of existing or potential public access to or along the shore?		
	(g) Sale or change in use of publicly-owned lands located on the shoreline or underwater?		
	(h) Development within a designated flood or erosion hazard area?		
	(i) Diminish surface or groundwater quality?		
	(j) Removal of ground cover from site?		
	(k) Development on a beach, dune, barrier island or other natural feature that provides protection against flooding or erosion?		
4.	Will the proposed action be <u>located</u> in or have a <u>significant effect</u> upon an area included in an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program?		
5.	If project is to be located adjacent to shore:		
	(a) Does project require a waterfront site?		
	(b) Will it supplant a recreational or maritime use?		
	(c) Will public services and facilities need to be constructed?		
	(d) Is it located in flood prone area?		
	(e) Is it located in an area of high erosion?		
6.	If the project site is publicly owned:		
	(a) Will the project decrease the public access to water related recreation, resources and facilities?		
	(b) If located in the foreshore, will access to those and adjacent lands be reduced?		
	(c) Will it involve the siting and construction of an energy facility?		
	(d) Will it involve the discharge of effluent?		
	(e) Is the project site presently used by the community as an open space or recreation area?		

		Yes	No				
	(f) Will the surface area of any waterways or wetland areas be changed (i.e. increased or decreased)?						
	(g) Does the present site offer or include scenic views or vistas known to be important to the community?						
	(h) Is the project site presently used for fishing?						
7.	Does any mature forest (over 100 years old) or other locally important vegetation exist on this site which will be removed by the project?						
8.	Will the project involve:						
	(a) Any waste discharges into coastal waters?						
	(b) Surface or subsurface liquid waste disposal?						
	(c) Transport, storage, treatment or disposal of solid waste or hazardous materials?						
	(d) Shippment or storage of petroleum?						
	(e) Discharge of toxic, hazardous substances or other pollutants into coastal waters?						
9.	Will the project affect any area designated as a tidal or fresh water wetland?						
10	. Will the project alter drainage flow patterns or surface water runoff on or from the site?						
11	. Will project utilize or affect the quality or quanitity of sole water runoff on or from the site?						
12	. Will the project cause emmissions that exceed federal or state air quality standards or generate significant amounts of nitrates or sulfates?						
SECT	ION D – Remarks or Additional Information						
1. For	questions answered "Yes" in Section C, explain methods you will undertake to reduce a	dverse e	effects.				
2. Review the LWRP to see if the project is consistent with each policy. List policies the project is not consistent with and explain all mitigating actions.							
3. Atta	ch separate sheet with explanation.						
SECT	ON E – Submission Requirements						
If any	question in Section C is answered "Yes" <u>AND</u> either of the following conditions is met:						
	Section B.10 (a) or B.10 (b) is checked OR Section B.10(c) and B.13 are answered	l "Yes"					
THEN	one copy of the completed Coastal Assessment Form shall be submitted to:						
	New York State Department of State Coastal Management Program 162 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12231						
	stance or further information is needed to complete this form, please call the Department 474 3642.	of State	e at				
Prepa	rer's Name:	(ple	ase print)				
Date:	Title:		·				

Agency Name: _____

Telephone #:

Coastal Assessment Form – Railroad Tie Removal Project - Section D.

Section C

Question 1a, b, c, and e were answered yes.

Question 4 was answered yes.

Question 5d was answered yes.

Question 6e, g, and h were answered yes.

Question 9 was answered yes.

The project is located in the Croton Bay which is part of the coastal zone as designated by the Local Waterfront Revitalization Program. The Croton Bay is designated is significant coastal fish and wildlife habitat. The LWRPs Policies 7A-7G, 9A-9B, and 11B relate to activities located within or near the Croton Bay. These policies were written to protect the fish and wildlife habitat of the Croton Bay, promote recreational usage and public usage, and protect properties located in designated floodplains.

It has long been a desire of the Village to remove the railroad ties located in the mudflats of the Croton Bay. However, there was a concern that the removal of the ties could cause environmental damage to the fish and wildlife habitat either through the removal process or the absence of the ties. The Village undertook a major multi-year project in conjunction with Hudsonia and Barry Vittor & Associates to determine if removal of the ties would have an adverse affect on the environment. After a number of years of testing both benthic macroinvertebrates and the bird population, it was determined that there would be no adverse environmental impacts from removing the ties.

The United States Military Academy Department of Geography and Environmental Engineering recently completed a feasibility study on the removal of railroad ties from the Croton Bay. The study determined an optimum method for removing the ties, similar to the method utilized in the pilot project. This would consist of the utilization of small, shallow draft, flat-bottomed boats used to transport removal crews there the tie and tie fragments could be lifted out of the mud flats and transported to shore. This method will not require any dredging or heavy equipment and there will be minimal disturbance of the mud flats.

It is intended that this project will occur during the fall to have minimal impact on bird and macroinvertebrate population. In addition, Metro North has committed to fund the disposal of the ties, which are classified as construction & demolition debris.

This project should have a beneficial effect on the Croton Bay. The visual blight of the railroad ties during low tide will be reduced and the safety of boaters will be increased by removing potential obstructions as boaters navigate the Croton Bay.